

**From:** Glazer, Thomas  
**To:** McLaughlin, Julianne; Hewitt, Julie; Hisel-McCoy, Sara; Anderson, Danielle; Buffo, Corey  
**Cc:** Reed, Khesha; Schroer, Lee  
**Subject:** RE: CA Selenium - OMB Pass back  
**Date:** Thursday, November 15, 2018 9:34:12 AM

---

OGC concurs with the proposal. Thanks!

Tom Glazer  
USEPA Office of General Counsel  
Water Law Office  
7426N WJC North  
(202) 564-0908

---

**From:** McLaughlin, Julianne  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 14, 2018 11:43 AM  
**To:** Glazer, Thomas <glazer.thomas@epa.gov>; Hewitt, Julie <Hewitt.Julie@epa.gov>; Hisel-McCoy, Sara <Hisel-McCoy.Sara@epa.gov>; Anderson, Danielle <Anderson.Danielle@epa.gov>; Buffo, Corey <Buffo.Corey@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Reed, Khesha <Reed.Khesha@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: CA Selenium - OMB Pass back  
**Importance:** High

Hi All – Vlad is pretty much ready to conclude, but we need to accept his final edit which is the addition highlighted in yellow. It's a bit redundant but defer to Sara, if it's okay.

Tom, can you start working on OGC concurrence? We are planning to get the blue folder to Deborah this Friday, but may be forced to get it to OW as soon as this Friday.

## VII. Economic Analysis

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

### Julianne McLaughlin, PhD

Standards and Health Protection Division

U.S. EPA | Office of Water

202-566-2542 | [mclaughlin.julianne@epa.gov](mailto:mclaughlin.julianne@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Dorjets, Vlad EOP/OMB <[Vlad.Dorjets@epa.gov](#)> **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy EOP (PP)**

**Sent:** Wednesday, November 14, 2018 11:35 AM

**To:** Hisel-McCoy, Sara <[Hisel-McCoy.Sara@epa.gov](mailto:Hisel-McCoy.Sara@epa.gov)>

**Cc:** McLaughlin, Julianne <[McLaughlin.Julianne@epa.gov](mailto:McLaughlin.Julianne@epa.gov)>; Anderson, Danielle <[Anderson.Danielle@epa.gov](mailto:Anderson.Danielle@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** RE: CA Selenium - OMB Pass back

Sara,

Thanks for sending this passback. I have accepted all edits but want to put back in a sentence to the EA that you had rejected (albeit in a modified form). I think it's important that to balance the discussion of the state's "discretion" by pointing to regulatory obligation it has to comply with. I have thus put in a paraphrased version of the reg text I quoted directly in the RFA section. Feel free to tweak the language as you see fit. In regards to the economic analysis, I did a quick scan and did not

see any references to the costs being direct or indirect so I don't have any comments on that document. Note that we expect our new toxicologist to start later this month or next so she may have her own thoughts at final rule stage.

If you can accept the attached edit (or a modified form of it that retains the intent) I can conclude OMB' review later today.

Vlad

Vlad Dorjets  
Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs  
White House Office of Management and Budget

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy EOP (PP)**

---

**From:** Hisel-McCoy, Sara <[Hisel-McCoy.Sara@epa.gov](mailto:Hisel-McCoy.Sara@epa.gov)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 13, 2018 9:38 PM

**To:** Dorjets, Vlad EOP/OMB <**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy EOP (PP)**>

**Cc:** McLaughlin, Julianne <[McLaughlin.Julianne@epa.gov](mailto:McLaughlin.Julianne@epa.gov)>; Anderson, Danielle  
<[Anderson.Danielle@epa.gov](mailto:Anderson.Danielle@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** Fwd: CA Selenium - OMB Pass back

Vlad,

We took all of your edits, but had some minor tweaks to the changes you made in the EA section. You will also notice additional edits in the EA section, which is due to the fact that we

**Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

**Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

Thanks,

Sara